	Case 2:24-cv-00555-KJM-JDP Documer	nt 21 Fil	led 09/10/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	ROLAND ADAMS,	Case	No. 2:24-cv-0	0555-KJM-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	ORD	ER	
14	ABBOTT LABORATORIES,			
15	Defendant.			
16		l		
17	On July 17, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were			
18	served on the parties, and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and			
19	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.			
20	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,			
21	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed			
22	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law			
23	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court			
24	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be			
25	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.			
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:			
27	1. The Findings and Recommendations filed July 17, 2024, are ADOPTED;			
28	/////			

Case 2:24-cv-00555-KJM-JDP Document 21 Filed 09/10/24 Page 2 of 2 2. Defendant's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 4, is granted and plaintiff is granted thirty days to amend his complaint or notify the court whether plaintiff seeks to proceed on the amended complaint as filed on August 14, 2024. See ECF No. 18. 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pre-trial matters. DATED: September 9, 2024.